

TSPCCM Annual Congress AZ Satellite Symposium

Current biologic treatment for severe asthma: A target approach with benralizumab

林口長庚紀念醫院 胸腔內科系 呼吸道疾病科 張博瑞 醫師 Po-Jui Chang, MD, PhD*

08/Dec/2019

* National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London

處方用藥請參考衛生福利部核准仿單說明書



Differentiation between difficult-to-treat and severe asthma



GINA DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT & SEVERE ASTHMA in adolescent and adult patients Diagnosis and Management,

Apr. 2019

How do eosinophils impact airways of asthma?

Eosinophils contribute to airway obstruction and inflammation

Airway Hyperresponsiveness



Activated eosinophils can contribute to inflammation and AHR both directly (release of mediators) and indirectly (cellular interactions)

Toxic mediators (eg, ECP) released by eosinophils can damage airway epithelium and increase smooth muscle reactivity

Immunomodulation



Eosinophils synthesize, store, and secrete a variety of cytokines including Th2 cytokines (IL-4 and IL-13) and Th1 cytokines (IL-12 and IFN-γ) Eosinophils may stimulate lymphocyte proliferation and T cell differentiation and polarization

Airway Remodeling



Eosinophils may contribute to the induction of airway remodeling through synthesis of profibrotic mediators

Eosinophils may be an important source of $TGF-\beta$, a potent pro-fibrotic cytokine, which contributes to fibroblast proliferation and differentiation of myofibroblasts

Add-on biologic type 2 targeted treatments

- Consider add-on Type 2-targeted biologic for patients with exacerbations or poor symptom control on high dose ICS-LABA, who:
- have eosinophilic or allergic biomarkers, or
 need maintenance OCS
- Consider local payer eligibility criteria ⁽¹⁾ and predictors of response when choosing between available therapies
- Also consider cost, dosing frequency, route (SC or IV), patient preference

Which biologic is appropriate to start first?



GINA DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT & SEVERE ASTHMA in adolescent and adult patients Diagnosis and Management, Apr, 2019

Add-on biologic type 2 targeted treatments

(b)) Consider *add-on biologic Type 2 –* targeted treatments

- Consider add-on Type
 2-targeted biologic for patients with exacerbations or poor symptom control on high dose ICS-LABA, who:
- have eosinophilic or allergic biomarkers, or
- need maintenance OCS
- Consider local payer eligibility criteria and predictors of response when choosing between available therapies
- Also consider cost, dosing frequency, route (SC or IV), patient preference

Which biologic is appropriate to start first?

Anti-IgE

- Is the patient eligible for **anti-IgE** for severe allergic asthma?
- Sensitization on skin prick testing or specific IgE⁹
- Total serum IgE and weight within dosage range
- Exacerbations in last year

nti-IL5 / Anti-IL5

the patient eligible for **anti-IL5 / anti-IL5R** r severe eosinophilic asthma?

- Exacerbations in last year
- Blood eosinophils ≥300/µl⁴

Anti-IL4

Is the patient eligible for anti-IL4R

- . for severe eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma?
- Exacerbations in last year
- Blood eosinophils ≥150/µl^O or FeNO ≥25 ppb^O
- . or because of need for maintenance OCS .

What factors may predict good asthma response to anti-IgE?

- Blood eosinophils ≥260/µl ++
- **FeNO** ≥20 ppb +
- Allergen-driven symptoms +
- Childhood-onset asthma +
 - Adult-onset of asthma ++
 - Nasal polyposis ++

What factors may predict good asthma response to anti-IL4R?

- Higher blood eosinophils +++
- Higher FeNO +++

Anti-IL4R may also be used to treat

- · Moderate/severe atopic dermatitis
- Nasal polyposis



Consider switching to a different Type 2-targeted therapy, if eligible

> Little/no response to T2-targeted therapy

GINA DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT & SEVERE ASTHMA in adolescent and adult patients Diagnosis and Management, Apr, 2019

Post hoc analysis revealed omalizumab reduces exacerbation better in severe allergic asthma with eosinophilia

- Prospective, multicenter, randomized, parallel-group, double-blind, placebo-controlled study [EXTRA]
- 850 patients with uncontrolled severe persistent allergic asthma despite high-dose ICS plus LABA, +/- additional controllers
- 1:1 randomization to omalizumab or placebo
- 46.4% for FENO, 93.8% for blood eosinophils, and 62.8% of patients for serum periostin available



Hanania NA et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013 Apr 15;187(8):804-11

Mechanism of IgE and omalizumab action: more than mast cell and allergy



Kupryś-Lipińska I et al. Pneumonol Alergol Pol. 2016;84(4):232-43

Previous pool analysis revealed omalizumab reduces blood eosinophils in severe allergic asthma with eosinophilia, **BUT...**

At similar blood eosinophil level, mepolizumab reduces eosinophil count much greater than omalizumab

Pool analysis of 5 RCTs in moderate-to-severe allergic asthma (ICS~1,400 BDP eqivalent) comparing omalizumab vs placebo

MUSCA



Massanari M et al. Respir Med. 2010 Feb;104(2):188-96

Chupp GL et al. Lancet Respir Med. 2017 May;5(5):390-400

Previous post hoc analysis revealed omalizumab reduces exacerbation better in severe allergic asthma with eosinophilia, **BUT...**

At the same cut-off eosinophil count, mepolizumab reduces exacerbation much greater than omalizumab



1. Adapted from: Hanania NA, et al. *Am J Resp Crit Care Med*. 2013;187:804–811; 2. GSK. Data on file. RF/NLA/0093/18.

Nasal polyps and obesity associated with **poor effectiveness** in severe allergic asthma despite **omalizumab**

Retrospective study of 340 patients with severe allergic asthma treated with omalizumab (mean duration: 32 m)



≥ 1 exacerbation

Partial/poor control



SABA use ≥ once/week

Continuing medium/high dose ICS



Sposato B et al. Eur J Intern Med. 2018 Jan 29. pii: S0953-6205(18)30026-8

Determining phenotypes based on clinical characteristics and biomarkers

Phenotype	Characteristics
Allergic asthma	Early-onset asthma Symptoms triggered by allergies Seasonal variations in exacerbations and degree of symptoms Associated with strong family history of asthma
Eosinophilic asthma	Late-onset asthma Comorbid condition: severe sinus disease, nasal polyps, and aspirin sensitivity Frequent exacerbations requiring healthcare
	May require oral corticosteroid maintenance therapy for symptom control

Clinical characteristics of eosinophilic asthma

Most consistently identifiable features associated with eosinophilic asthma



WINDWARD program in asthma: Benralizumab phase 3 clinical trials



APFS = accessorized pre-filled syringe; ICS = inhaled corticosteroid; LABA = long-acting beta-agonist; OCS = oral corticosteroid.

1. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP press release. Published May 17, 2016; 2. FitzGerald JM et al. *Lancet.* 2016;388:2128-2141; 3. Nair P et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376:2448-2458; 4. Bleecker ER et al. *Lancet.* 2016;388:2115-2127; 5. Ferguson GT et al. *Lancet Respir Med.* 2017;5:568-576; 6. Ferguson GT et al. Poster presented at: ATS; May 19-24, 2017; Washington, DC. Poster P1011; 7. Study NCT02258542. ClinicalTrials.gov website.

Benralizumab reduced exacerbatioin of severe eosinophilic asthma [SIROCCO & CALIMA]

Benralizumab significantly reduced AER (Eos ≥300 cells/µL, high-dosage ICS/LABA)



1. Bleecker ER et al. Lancet. 2016;388:2115-2127; 2. FitzGerald JM et al. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141.

Better efficacy of benralizumab in severe eosinophilic asthma with common characteristics [SIROCCO & CALIMA]

Exacerbation rate reduction by baseline factors and blood Eos counts (Pooled SIROCCO and CALIMA; high-Dosage ICS/LABA, ≥300 Eos)



Bleecker ER et al. Poster presented at: ATS International Conference; May 18-23, 2018; San Diego, CA. Poster 16840.

Choices among biologics for severe asthma Anti-IL5/IL5R

6D Consider *add-on biologic Type 2* targeted treatments

- Consider add-on Type
 2-targeted biologic for patients with exacerbations or poor symptom control on high dose ICS-LABA, who:
- have eosinophilic or allergic biomarkers, or
- Consider local payer
- eligibility criteria and predictors of response when choosing between available therapies
- Also consider cost, dosing frequency, route (SC or IV), patient preference

Which biologic is appropriate to start first?

Anti-IgE

- the patient eligible for **anti-IgE** or severe allergic asthma?
- Sensitization on skin prick testing or specific IgE
- Total serum IgE and weight within dosage range
- Exacerbations in last year

Anti-IL5 / Anti-IL5R

- Is the patient eligible for **anti-IL5** / **anti-IL5R** for severe eosinophilic asthma?
- Exacerbations in last year
- Blood eosinophils ≥300/µl^G

Anti-IL4R

- Is the patient eligible for **anti-IL4R**
- . for severe eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma?
- Exacerbations in last year
- Blood eosinophils ≥150/µl^O or FeNO ≥25 ppb^C
- . or because of need for maintenance OCS •?

What factors may predict good asthma response to anti-IL5/5R?

- Higher blood eosinophils +++
- More exacerbations in
- previous year +++
- Adult-onset of asthma ++
- Nasal polyposis ++

What factors may predict good asthma response to anti-IL4R?

- Higher blood eosinophils +++
- Higher FeNO +++

Anti-IL4R may also be used to treat

- Moderate/severe atopic dermatitis
- Nasal polyposis

Consider switching to a different Type 2-targeted therapy, if eligible

> Little/no response to T2-targeted therapy

GINA DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT & SEVERE ASTHMA in adolescent and adult patients Diagnosis and Management, Apr, 2019

Rapid improvement of <u>FEV</u> with benralizuamab in severe eosinophilic asthma [SIROCCO & CALIMA]

FEV₁ improvements seen after the first dose and maintained throughout treatment period (Eos ≥300 cells/µL, high-dosage ICS)



Rapid improvement of <u>PEF</u> with benralizuamab in severe eosinophilic asthma [ZONDA]



Consistent and durable effects of <u>exacerbation</u> reduction with benralizuamb for severe eosinophilic asthma



Please note that as head-to-head studies were not conducted between these studies it is inappropriate to make direct comparisons as the study design, demographics, and other criteria may be different.

1. Bleecker ER et al. Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388;2128-2141; 2. FitzGerald JM et al. Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388;2128-2141; 3. Busse WW et al. Presentation at: ERS International Congress; September 15-19, 2018; Paris, France.

Mepolizumab reduces exacerbation in severe eosinophilic asthma better than benralizumab and reslizumab?



Busse W et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019 Jan;143(1):190-200.e20

Different baseline characteristics between benralizumab and mepolizumab studies

Please note that as head-to-head studies were not conducted between these products, it is inappropriate to draw any comparisons and/or make any conclusions, as the study design, demographics, and other criteria may be different.

	Mepolizumab 100 mg Q4W MENSA ^{1,2}	Mepolizumab 100 mg Q4W MUSCA ³	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W SIROCCO ^{4,5,a}	Benralizumab 30 mg Q8W CALIMA ^{6,7,a}
Age, y	51	49.8	47.6	49
Female, %	60	54	63	62
BMI, kg/m²	27.6	28.5	28.2	28.8
Duration of asthma, y	20.5	19.5	14.4	16.8
Exacerbations in prior 12 months, n	3.8	2.9	2.8	2.7
FEV ₁ predicted, %	59.3	55.5	56.1	57.9
Proportion receiving OCS, %	27	23	18	10
Actual baseline EOS count, cells/μL	290	300	360	400
Nasal polyps, %	14	21	19	15

^aData presented are from the full analysis population. 1. Ortega HG *et al.* N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1198-1207; 2. Ortega HG *et al.* Supplementary appendix. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:1198-1207; 3. Chupp GL *et al.* Lancet Respir Med 2017; 5: 390–400; 4. Bleecker ER *et al.* Lancet. 2016;388:2115-2127; 5. Bleecker ER *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2115-2127; 6. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Lancet. 2016;388:2115-2127; 6. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2115-2127; 6. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM *et al.* Supplementary appendix. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141; 7. FitzGerald JM et al.

Matching-adjusted indirect comparison of benralizumab *versus* interleukin-5 inhibitors for the treatment of severe asthma: a systematic review.

Bourdin A^{1,2}, Husereau D^{3,4}, Molinari N⁵, Golam S⁶, Siddiqui MK⁷, Lindner L⁸, Xu X⁹.

Baseline characteristics	SIROCCO/CALIMA [#] (before adjustment)	MENSA/DREAM (aggregate reported data)	SIROCCO/CALIMA (after adjustment)
	Benralizumab Q8W, placebo	Mepolizumab 75 mg IV, mepolizumab 100 mg SC, placebo	Benralizumab Q8W, placebo
Patients n	863	884	559 [¶]
Eosinophil count			
≥300 cells·µL ⁻¹	68.02	52.45	52.43
<300 cells·µL ⁻¹	31.98	47.55	47.57
Maintenance OCS use			
Yes	15.06	26.58 ⁺	30.24
No	84.94	73.42 ⁺	69.76
IgE count			
<30 IU·mL ^{−1}	11.40	13.29	14.62
≥30–≤700 IU·mL ^{−1}	71.09	70.35	70.01
>700 IU⋅mL ⁻¹	17.51	16.35	15.37
Sex			
Male	37.43	40.05	39.08
Female	62.57	59.95	60.92
Exacerbations in previous year			
2	62.34	42.99	42.82
>2	37.66	56.79	57.18
Nasal polyps			
No	81.23	86.83	83.09
Yes	18.77	13.17	16.91
BMI mean±s⊳ kg·m ⁻²	28.89±6.27	27.98±5.912	28.38±6.15

Similar efficacy between benralizumab and mepolizumab studies [Matching adjusted indirect comparison]

Exacerbation



Bourdin A et al. Eur Respir J. 2018 Nov 29;52(5). pii: 1801393

Similar efficacy between benralizumab and mepolizumab studies [Matching adjusted indirect comparison]

Lung function improvement



Bourdin A et al. Eur Respir J. 2018 Nov 29;52(5). pii: 1801393

Anti-IL5 vs anti-IL5Rα monoclonal antibodies: Similar or different mechanism?

ADCC (antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity)



Anti-IL5 vs anti-IL5Rα monoclonal antibodies: Similar or different mechanism?



Ghazi A et al. Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2012 Jan;12(1):113-8

Effect of benralizumab on eosinophil depletion

Airway

Median % change from baseline in airway mucosal/submucosal EOS Benralizumab 100 + 200 mg SC (n=9) vs. placebo (n=5), Day 84, -95.8% vs. -46.7% (p=0.06)

Blood

Peripheral blood EOS counts

Benralizumab 100 + 200 mg SC (n=9) vs. placebo (n=5), Day 84, 0 x 10³ cells/ μ L vs 0.20 x 10³ cells/ μ L (p-value not reported)

Change from baseline in peripheral blood EOS counts

Cohort 1 + 2 (post hoc analysis): benralizumab (n=14) vs. placebo (n=7), 28 days after last dose, **-100%** vs. 0% (p<0.0001)

Bone marrow Change from baseline in bone marrow EOS counts Cohort 1 + 2 (benralizumab 1 mg/kg IV, n=4; benralizumab 100 mg SC, n=1) vs. placebo n=1), 28 days after last dose, 100% reduction in EOS and EOS precursors (undetectable) with benralizumab

Median % change from baseline in sputum EOS counts

Benralizumab 100 + 200 mg SC (n=9) vs. placebo (n=5),

Day 28, -89.9% vs. -66.6% (p-value not reported)

Sputum

Benralizumab abrogates blood/sputum eosinophils and progenitors in OCS dependent asthma



Sehmi R *et al.* Clin Exp Allergy. 2016 Jun;46(6):793-802 Sehmi R *et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2018 Apr;141(4):1529-1532.e8

Benralizumab depletes blood eosinophils in severe eosinophilic asthma



Ortega HG *et al.* N Engl J Med. 2014;371(13):1198-1207 FDA Clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics review(s) for Fasenra

Durable lung function improvement with benralizumab for severe eosinophilic asthma



Comparison of <u>reduced OCS dose</u> between mepolizumab and benralizumab in OCS-dependent severe asthma [SIRIUS vs ZONDA]

Mepolizumab [SIRIUS]

Percentage reduction from baseline in the glucocorticoid dose (50% vs 0; p=0.007)

Benralizumab [ZONDA]

Percentage reduction from baseline in the glucocorticoid dose (**75%** vs 25%; *p*<0.001)



Not head-to-head comparison. Please note that as head-to-head studies were not conducted between these products, it is inappropriate to draw any comparisons and/or make any conclusions as the study design, demographics and other criteria may be different.

A Change from Baseline in Glucocorticoid Dose

Comparison of <u>reduced OCS dose</u> between mepolizumab and benralizumab in OCS-dependent severe asthma [SIRIUS vs ZONDA]

Mepolizumab [SIRIUS]

Odds ratio for reduced OCS dose: 2.39

Benralizumab [ZONDA]

Odds ratio for reduced OCS dose: 4.12

Outcome	Placebo (N = 66)	Mepolizumab (N=69)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)*	P Value
Reduction in oral glucocorticoid dose at 20 to 24 wk: primary outcome — no. (%)†			2.39 (1.25–4.56)	0.008
90 to 100%	7 (11)	16 (23)		
75 to <90%	5 (8)	12 (17)		
50 to <75%	10 (15)	9 (13)		
>0 to <50%	7 (11)	7 (10)		
No decrease in oral glucocorticoid dose, a lack of asthma control, or withdrawal from treatment	37 (56)	25 (36)		

Outcome	Placebo	Every 4 Wk	Every 8 Wk
Outcome	(N=75)	(N=72)	(11 = 75)
Primary outcome			
Median oral glucocorticoid dose (range) — mg/day*			
At baseline	10.0 (7.5 to 40.0)	10.0 (7.5 to 40.0)	10.0 (7.5 to 40.0)
At final visit	10.0 (0.0 to 40.0)	5.0 (0.0 to 45.0)	5.0 (0.0 to 30.0)
Median reduction from baseline (range) — % of baseline value $\dot{\tau}$	25.0 (-150 to 100)	75.0 (-100 to 100)	75.0 (-50 to 100)
P value†	_	<0.001	<0.001
Reduction from baseline in final oral glucocorticoid dose — no. (%)			
≥90%	9 (12)	24 (33)	27 (37)
≥75%	15 (20)	38 (53)	37 (51)
≥50%	28 (37)	48 (67)	48 (66)
>0%	40 (53)	55 (76)	58 (79)
Any increase or no change in dose	35 (47)	17 (24)	15 (21)
Analysis of percentage reduction from baseline in oral glucocorticoid dose			
Odds ratio (95% CI)	_	4.09 (2.22 to 7.57)	4.12 (2.22 to 7.63)
P value	_	<0.001	<0.001

Bel EH et al. N Engl J Med. 2014 Sep 25;371(13):1189-97

Nair P et al. N Engl J Med. 2017 Jun 22;376(25):2448-2458

Not head-to-head comparison. Please note that as head-to-head studies were not conducted between these products, it is inappropriate to draw any comparisons and/or make any conclusions as the study design, demographics and other criteria may be different.

Comparison of reduced annualized exacerbation rate between mepolizumab and benralizumab in OCS-dependent severe asthma [SIRIUS vs ZONDA]

Mepolizumab [SIRIUS]

Relative reduction of **32%** in the annualized rate of exacerbations (1.44 vs. 2.12, p=0.04)

Benralizumab [ZONDA]

Relative reduction of **70%** in the annualized rate of exacerbations (0.54 vs. 1.83, p<0.001)



Nair P et al. N Engl J Med. 2017 Jun 22;376(25):2448-2458

Not head-to-head comparison. Please note that as head-to-head studies were not conducted between these products, it is inappropriate to draw any comparisons and/or make any conclusions as the study design, demographics and other criteria may be different.

Safety of benralizumab in both prospective RCTs and open-label extension study

Benralizumab study in SIROCCO, CALIMA, ZONDA, BORA studies

Adverse reactions ≥5% incidence in SIROCCO¹					
Adverse Reaction	Benralizumab Q8W (n=394)	PLACEBO (n=407)			
Asthma	11%	19%			
Nasopharyngitis	12%	12%			
Upper respiratory tract infection	8%	9%			
Headache	9%	5%			
Bronchitis	5%	7%			
Sinusitis	6%	7%			
Influenza	5%	6%			
Pharyngitis	6%	3%			
Rhinitis	-	-			

Adverse reactions ≥5% incidence in CALIMA ²		Adverse reactions ≥5% in ZONDA ³	
Benralizumab Q8W PLACEBO (n=428) (n=440)		Benralizumab Q8W (n=73)	PLACEBO
11%	15%	-	-
18%	21%	15%	20%
8%	9%	7%	7%
8%	7%	8%	5%
10%	12%	10%	16%
5%	8%	5%	11%
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	8%	3%

Adverse reactions ≥5% incidence in BORA⁴				
Adverse Reaction Benralizumab Q8W (n=512)				
Viral upper respiratory tractinfection	16%			
Asthma	8%			
Upper respiratory tract infection	6%			
Bronchitis 6%				
Headache	6%			
Acute sinusitis	5%			

Adverse event profile in the phase 3 safety extension trial (BORA)⁴

- No cases of helminth infection were reported⁴
- No safety signals that might be associated with long-term depletion of eosinophils were observed⁴

Injection site reactions

- In the pivotal trials, injection site reactions with benralizumab Q8W were similar to placebo: 2.2% vs 1.9 $\%^5$
- In BORA, injection site reactions with benralizumab Q8W were 2%⁴

4. Busse WW, et al. Long-term of benralizumab in patients with severe, uncontrolled asthma: 1-year results from the BORA phase 3 extension trial. Lancet Respir Med. 2019;7:46-59. 5. FASENRA [summary of product characteristics]. AstraZeneca AB, SE-151 85, Södertälje, Sweden; 2018.

^{1.} Bleecker ER, et al. Lancet. 2016;388:2115-2127 2. FitzGerald JM, et al. Lancet. 2016;388:2128-2141. 3. Nair P et al. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(Supple):2448-2458

Add-on biologic type 2 targeted treatments

Consider *add-on biologic Type 2* targeted treatments

- Consider add-on Type 2-targeted biologic for patients with exacerbations or poor symptom control on high dose ICS-LABA, who: 0
- have eosinophilic or allergic biomarkers, or
- Consider local payer eligibility criteria
 and predictors of response when choosing between available
- Also consider cost, dosing frequency, route (SC or IV), patient preference

Which biologic is appropriate to start first?

Anti-IgE

- the patient eligible for **anti-IgE** r severe allergic asthma?
- Sensitization on skin prick testing or specific IgE[®]
- Total serum IgE and weight within dosage range ${f C}$
- Exacerbations in last year

nti-IL5 / Anti-IL5

the patient eligible for **anti-IL5 / anti-IL5R** or severe eosinophilic asthma?

- Exacerbations in last year
- Blood eosinophils ≥300/µl⁴

Anti-IL4R

Is the patient eligible for anti-IL4R

- ... for severe eosinophilic/Type 2 asthma?
- Exacerbations in last year¹
- Blood eosinophils ≥150/µl^O or FeNO ≥25 ppb^O
- .. or because of need for maintenance OCS^O?

What factors may predict good asthma response to anti-IL4R?

- Higher blood eosinophils +++
- Higher FeNO +++

Anti-IL4R may also be used to treat

- Moderate/severe atopic dermatitis
- Nasal polyposis

	What factors may predict good asthma response to anti-IL4R?	to a different Type 2-targeted therapy, if eligible
	 Higher blood eosinophils +++ Higher FeNO +++ 	
b o	Anti-IL4R may also be used to treat Moderate/severe atopic dermatitis Nasal polyposis 	

GINA DIFFICULT-TO-TREAT & SEVERE ASTHMA in adolescent and adult patients Diagnosis and Management, Apr, 2019

Better efficacy of dupilumab in uncontrolled asthma with elevated FE_{NO}

A Dupilumab, 200 mg Every 2 Wk, vs. Matched Placebo				
Subgroup	No. of Patients		Relative Risk vs. Plac	ebo (95% CI)
	Placebo	Dupilumab		
Overall	317	631	- - -	0.52 (0.41-0.66)
Eosinophil count				
≥300 cells/mm ³	148	264		0.34 (0.24–0.48)
\geq 150 to <300 cells/mm ³	84	173		0.64 (0.41-1.02)
<150 cells/mm ³	85	193		0.93 (0.58-1.47)
Fe _{NO}				
≥50 ppb	71	119	_ —	0.31 (0.18-0.52)
≥25 to <50 ppb	91	180	_ _	0.39 (0.24-0.62)
<25 ppb	149	325		0.75 (0.54-1.05)
		(1 0.25 0.5 0.75 1 1.5 2	
			······································	
			Dupilumab Placebo	
			Better Better	

B Dupilumab, 300 mg Every 2 Wk, vs. Matched Placebo

Subgroup	No. of	Patients	Relative Risk vs. Pl	acebo (95% CI)
	Placebo	Dupilumab		
Overall	321	633		0.54 (0.43-0.68)
Eosinophil count				
≥300 cells/mm ³	142	277		0.33 (0.23-0.45)
\geq 150 to <300 cells/mm ³	95	175		0.56 (0.35-0.89)
<150 cells/mm ³	83	181	_	1.15 (0.75–1.77)
Fe _{no}				
≥50 ppb	75	124	_ ——	0.31 (0.19-0.49)
≥25 to <50 ppb	97	186	_ _	0.44 (0.28-0.69)
<25 ppb	144	317		0.79 (0.57-1.10)
		Г 0.1	0.25 0.5 0.75 1 1.5 2	
		-		
			Dupilumab Placebo Better Better	

Castro M et al. N Engl J Med. 2018 Jun 28;378(26):2486-2496

For severe asthma requiring long term regular administration of biologic agents with several choices...



Take home messages

- Clinical characteristics [phenotype] of eosinophilic asthma differs from allergic asthma
 - Complete evaluation in addition to biomarker check-up
- Differential effects between anti-IL5 and anti-IgE biologics in terms of reducing blood eosinophils and asthma exacerbations of severe asthma
- Benralizumab: anti-IL5R monoclonal antibodies
 - Antibody-directed cell toxicity (ADCC) of eosinophils: eosinophial deplete
 - Prevent IL-5 and other cytokines from actions on eosinophils

Take home messages

Differential clinical efficacies between benralizumab and mepolizumab in severe eosinophilic asthma?

- Matching-adjusted indirect comparison: similar efficacy
- Severe eosinophilic asthma: benralizumab might be better?
 Durable lung function improvevement
- OCS dependent asthma: benralizumab might be better?
 - Reducing maintenance OCS dose
 - Reducing exacerbations
- User friendliness
 - Q4W*3, followed by Q8W
 - Pre-filled syringe (PFS)

