

#### HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY Graduate School of Medicine

# Lessons from the HiCARAT study: Hokkaido-based Investigative Cohort Analysis for Refractory Asthma

December 7<sup>th</sup> , 2019. Taiwan

# Masaharu Nishimura, Hokkaido COPD cohort study investigators

Professor emeritus, Hokkaido University Housi General Medical Clinic and Hokkaido Institute of Respiratory diseases

# COI disclosure

Masaharu Nishimura

Relevant financial relationships with a commercial interest:

Research grants from AstraZeneca, Kyorin, and MSD

# Asthma

Definition

Characterized by repetitive cough, wheezing, dyspnea,

reversible airway narrowing, and airway hyperresponsiveness.

#### Important features for diagnosis of asthma

- 1. Paroxysmal dyspnea, wheezing, repeated cough
- 2. Reversible airflow limitation
- 3. Airway hyperresponsiveness
- 4. Atopy: IgE antibodies against environmental allergens
- 5. Airway inflammation:

Increased eosinophils in sputum and peripheral blood high ECP

Creola bodies

increased fraction of exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO)

6. Differential diagnosis:

Exclude diseases caused by other cardiopulmonary disorders

#### (Japanese Guideline for Adult Asthma 2012)

# **Diagnosis of COPD**

# 1. Postbronchodilator FEV1/FVC < 0.70

# 2. Excludes <u>other diseases</u> characterized by airflow limitation

- -• Asthma
  - Tuberculosis
  - Diffuse pan bronchiolitis (DPB)

(Japanese Guideline for COPD ver 4)

# "Asthma syndrome"

#### Phenotypic categories

	Atopic	Non-atopic
	High IgE ————	Low IgE
	Single allergen	- Multiple allergens
	Child-onset	- Adult/Late-onset
Sputum e	osinophilic —— neutrophilic —	— paucigranulocytic
	High FeNO	Low FeNO
	High periostin	Low periostin

# **Treatment steps for asthma**

		Treatment step 1	Treatment step 2	Treatment step 3	Treatment step 4
	ICS	Inhaled corticoste- roid (low dose)	Inhaled corticoste- roid (low to medium doses)	Inhaled corticoste- roid (medium to high doses)	Inhaled corticosteroid (high dose)
Long-term management agents	Basic treatment	If the above agent cannot be used, use one of the fol- lowing agents. • LTRA • Theophylline sus- tained-release preparation (unnecessary for rare symptoms)	If the above agent is ineffective, con- comitantly use one of the following agents. • LABA (a compounding agent can be used) • LTRA • Theophylline sus- tained-release preparation	Concomitantly use one or more of the agents below. • LABA (a compounding agent can be used) • LTRA • Theophylline sus- tained-release preparation	Concomitantly use multiple agents of those below. • LABA (a compounding agent can be used) • LTRA • Theophylline sus- tained-release prep- aration If poorly controlled with all of the above agents, add either or both of the agents below. • Anti-IgE antibody \$
	Additional treatment	Antiallergics other than LTRA <sup>+</sup>	Antiallergics other than LTRA <sup>†</sup>	Antiallergics other than LTRA <sup>+</sup>	Antiallergics other than LTRA <sup>+</sup>
Exacerbation	treatment <sup>¶</sup>	Inhaled SABA	Inhaled SABA	Inhaled SABA	Inhaled SABA
				Anti-IL4,13 antibody	Anti-IL5 antibody Anti-IL5R antibody Anti-IL4,13 antibody

(Japanese Guideline for Adult Asthma 2012)

#### T-helper Type 2–driven Inflammation Defines Major Subphenotypes of Asthma (Woodorff PG, et al. A

(Woodorff PG, et al. AJRCCM 2009)



#### Asthma: response to ICS

# Non-eosinophilic cor ticosteroid unresponsive asthma

Ian D Pavord, Chris E Brightling, Gerrit Woltmann, Andrew J Wardlaw

(Pavord ID, et al. Lancet 1999)

	Sputum eo<3%	Sputum eo≥3%
	Eos <3%	Eos ≥3%
Number	9	14
Age (years)	53	45
Male	5	11
Atopy	2	8
Current smoker	3	1
$\Delta FEV_1$ (mL)	100 (-193 to 394)	142 (-5 to 289)
∆Symptom VAS (mm)	-0.7 (15.4 to -16.8)	-24·4 (-12·5 to -36·3)
$\Delta PEF$ amplitude % mean	-3·2 (4·3 to -10·7)	-7.0 (-2.5 to -11.6)
$\Delta PC_{20}$ (doubling doses)	0 (-1·2 to 1·2)	2·1 (1·3 to 3·0)
Decrease sputum eos (fold)	1.6 (0.98 to 2.7)	7·1 (3·7 to 13·5)

Patient details with mean (95% CI) change in measures after treatment with <u>budesonide</u> in those stratified according to sputum eosinophil (eos) count

# To evaluate the effect of smoking on asthma phenotypes • • •

**Asthmatic subjects** 



#### **Decline in Lung Function in the Busselton Health Study**

The Effects of Asthma and Cigarette Smoking

(AL James et al. AJRCCM 2005)



#### Effect of smoking on (airway) inflammation (Matsumoto H, et al., Allergol Int 2013)

Smokers VS.	Non-smokers
-------------	-------------

 Table 1
 Inflammation in smoking asthmatics

Authors, Published year	Subjects, Smoking status, Condition of treatment	Pack-years	Age, Mean (range) or mean ± SD	Samples	Effects of smoking
Boulet LP, 20067	22 current smokers	14.0 ± 7.6	31 (20-44)	Induced	Neutrophil counts †
	27 never-smokers No use of ICS	0 ± 0	29 (20-42)	sputum	Eosinophil counts →
Chalmers GW, 20018	31 current smokers	21.0 ± 16.6	36.3 ± 10.6	Induced	Neutrophils †
	36 never-smokers No use of ICS	0 ± 0	36.0 ± 8.9	sputum	(both counts and propor- tions)
					Eosinophils ↓
					(both counts and propor- tions)
St-Laurent J, 20089	12 current smokers	16.7 ± 2.2	32.7 ± 2.3	Bronchial	Neutrophil elastase,
	12 never-smokers No use of ICS	0 ± 0	25.8 ± 2.3	biopsies	IFN-γ, and IL-8 †
Broekema M, 200910	35 current smokers	3 (0-64) 15 (0.4-47)	50 (21-64)	Bronchial biopsies and	Neutrophils → in biop- sies (current and ex)
	66 never-smokers	0 (0-0)	47 (19-71)	induced sputum	Eosinophils ↓ in biop- sies (current and ex)
	44% used ICS				Sputum neutrophil counts ↓ (current)
					Sputum neutrophil counts → (ex)
					Sputum eosinophil counts → (current and ex)
Sunyer J, 200311	301 current smokers 406 ex-smokers		34.5 ± 9.5	Blood	Eosinophil proportions ↓
	713 never-smokers				
Nagasaki T, 201391	46 current smokers	30 ± 19	47 ± 13	Blood	Neutrophil counts 1
<b>J</b>	65 ex-smokers	27 ± 22	61 ± 15		Eosinophil counts †
	196 never-smokers	$0 \pm 0$	49 ± 20		
	No use of ICS				





• Smoking inhibits eosinophlic airway inflammation.

(Thatcher TH, et al. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2008)

(Botelho FM, et al. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2011)

(Melgert BN, et al. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol Biol 2004)

• Smoking enhances eosinophlic airway inflammation.

(Moerloose KB et al. AJRCCM 2005)

(Nakamura Y et al. JACI 2008)

(Van Hove CL, et al. Respir Res 2008)

#### Effect of smoking on (airway) inflammation (Matsumoto H, et al., Allergol Int 2013)

	Smokers	s vs.	Non-sm	okers	
Table 1 Inflammation	n in smoking asthmatics				
Authors, Published year	Subjects, Smoking status, Condition of treatment	Pack-years	Age, Mean (range) or mean ± SD	Samples	Effects of smoking
Boulet LP, 2006 <sup>7</sup>	22 current smokers 27 never-smokers No use of ICS	14.0 ± 7.6 0 ± 0	31 (20-44) 29 (20-42)	Induced sputum	Neutrophil counts ↑ Eosinophil counts →
Chalmers GW, 20018	31 current smokers 36 never-smokers	21.0 ± 16.6 0 ± 0	36.3 ± 10.6 36.0 ± 8.9	Induced sputum	Neutrophils † (both counts and propor-
<ul> <li>Neutrophili</li> <li>Eosinophili</li> </ul>	Smoking	on on	↓ <i>→</i> ?	<sup>۱d</sup> ??	Eosinophils ↓ (both counts and propor- tions) Neutrophil elastase, IFN-γ, and IL-8 ↑ Neutrophils → in biop- sies (current and ex) Eosinophils ↓ in biop- sies (current and ex) Sputum neutrophil counts ↓ (current) Sputum neutrophil counts → (ex) Sputum eosinophil counts → (current and ex) Eosinophil proportions ↓
Nagasaki T, 201391	713 never-smokers 46 current smokers 65 ex-smokers 196 never-smokers No use of ICS	30 ± 19 27 ± 22 0 ± 0	47 ± 13 61 ± 15 49 ± 20	Blood	Neutrophil counts ↑ Eosinophil counts ↑

# Hokkaido-based Investigative Cohort Analysis for Refractory Asthma (Hi-CARAT)

(NO. UMIN 000003254)

- Patients diagnosed with severe asthma by respiratory physicians based on the ATS criteria of severe/refractory asthma (*AJRCCM 2000*) were enrolled at Hokkaido University Hospital and 29 affiliated hospitals and clinics between February 2010 and September 2012.
- We attempted to recruit patients with severe asthma, including smokers.

#### **Additional criteria for patients**

When patients were well-controlled under the current medications (not fulfilled any of minor characteristics 2, 4, and 5 at the entry), these subjects were confirmed that they experienced episodic deterioration of symptoms, urgent care visits, and rescue use of short-acting bronchodilators when current medication was reduced within one year.

(Kimura H, et al. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017)

(Konno S, at al. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2018)

# Hokkaido-based Investigative Cohort Analysis for Refractory Asthma (Hi-CARAT)

(NO. UMIN 000003254)

- Patients diagnosed with severe asthma by respiratory physicians based on the ATS criteria of severe/refractory asthma (*AJRCCM 2000*) were enrolled at Hokkaido University Hospital and 29 affiliated hospitals and clinics
- We attempted to recruit patients with severe asthma, *including smokers*.

# **Smoking Rate (HiCARAT)**

(NO. UMIN 000003254)



# **Cluster analysis**

• An "data-dependent classification approach," in which subjects are grouped on the basis of multiple similarities



# What's the aim of "Cluster analysis"?

- A process of knowledge discovery
- A process of development of novel hypotheses

via classification of subjects into a limited number of clusters on the basis of our existing knowledge and an *a priori* hypothesis.

# **Premature hypothesis**

**Cluster analysis** 

**Strong hypothesis** 

A significant step toward a stronger hypothesis from our premature hypothesis

### What's the aim of cluster analysis?

# Inconclusive results regarding the effect of <u>smoking</u> on (airway) <u>inflammation</u> in asthma

via classification of subject a numited number of clusters on the basis of our **Premature hypothesis**: priori hypothesis.

- The effects of smoking on inflammation in asthma varies.
- Smoking does not affect all asthmatic subjects in the same way.



A significant step toward a stronger hypothesis from our premature hypothesis

# Measurements

The following clinical parameters were evaluated in all subjects <u>during a 2-day stay at Hokkaido University Hospital</u>.

- **Questionnaires** (onset age, AQLQ, smoking habit • •)
- Anthropometric measurements
- Pulmonary function tests

(including BDR; salbutamol and oxitropium bromide)

- CT imaging (Chest, Sinus, Abdominal fat)
- Measurement of biomarkers
  - •peripheral eo count •Total serum IgE •allergen specific IgE
  - sputum analysis (cell differentiation)
  - •FeNO

Cytokines/Chemokines (sputum supernatant)

# **Selection of clinical variables for cluster analysis**

**Smoking** • Smoking status (current or ex/never) • Pack-yrs **Obesity** • Body mass index (BMI) Inflammation • Peripheral eosinophil count • FeNO **Pulmonary** function •%FEV1 (max value) •FEV1/FVC •%DLCO/VA *IgE* • Total serum IgE • Atopic status (specific IgE)

Others •Gender •Age •Onset age

#### Hierarchical clustering (Ward's method)

Severe asthma (N=127)





(Konno S, et al. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2018)

#### **Sputum supernatant**



(Konno S, et al. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2018)

#### **Decline in Lung Function in the Busselton Health Study**

The Effects of Asthma and Cigarette Smoking

(AL James et al. AJRCCM 2005)



#### **Novel hypothesis** proposed by cluster analysis



# Asthma severity

(Konno S, et al. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2018)

# **Summary I**

# **Effect of smoking on asthma phenotypes**

• Cluster analysis yielded novel hypotheses regarding the effect of smoking on airway inflammation in severe asthma.

- Two distinct types of pathogenesis may exist in relation to the role of smoking in decline of pulmonary function and eventually in asthma severity.
- This might explain the inconclusive results of previous reports regarding the effect of smoking on airway inflammation in asthma.

# **Goals for asthma treatment**



(Wenzel SE. Nat Med. 2012)

# **Goals for asthma treatment**



(Wenzel SE. Nat Med. 2012)

# Aim

• The aim of this study was to characterize the clinical features associated with asthma exacerbation from data collected during a 3-year follow-up of severe asthmatic subjects.

# **Follow-up protocol in Hi-CARAT**



#### **3-year-follow-up**



常見以テロイド寺場

· 単の目辺・田家県 (Aun-1) ととろに解決して

下さい。

日から

日まで

# **Medication adherence data**

	Year 1			Year 2			Year 3		
Adherence (%)	Oral	Inhaled	Trans- dermal	Oral	Inhaled	Trans- dermal	Oral	Inhaled	Trans- dermal
99-100	80 (72.1%)	75 (67.0%)	4 (50.0%)	82 (78.1%)	69 (63.3%)	6 (66.7%)	78 (76.5%)	74 (69.2%)	4 (57.1%)
90-99	27 (24.3%)	30 (26.8%)	3 (37.5%)	20 (19.0%)	38 (34.9%)	2 (22.2%)	20 (19.6%)	31 (29.0%)	2 (28.6%)
80-90	2 (1.8%)	6 (5.4%)	0	2 (1.9%)	1 (0.9%)	1 (11.1%)	3 (2.9%)	2 (1.9%)	1 (14.3%)
70-80	0	1 (0.9%)	1 (12.5%)	1 (1.0%)	1 (0.9%)	0	1 (1.0%)	0	0
0-70	2 (1.8%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
All	111	112	8	105	109	9	102	107	7

# **Characteristics (N=105)**

Male sex, N (%)	45 (42.9%)	Blood eosinophil, cells/µL	197.0 (0.52)
Age at enrollment, years	$58.5 \pm 12.1$	Serum IgE, IU/mL	138.5 (0.70)
Asthma duration, years	$19.7 \pm 14.6$	Sputum Eosinophil, %	8.0 (0.8-30.6)
Smoking status (Current/Ex/Never)	11/56/38	FeNO, ppb	30.2 (0.36)
Pack years	5.5 (0-23.4)	Serum periostin, ng/mL	80.3 (0.21)
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$25.5 \pm 5.0$		
Daily ICS dose, μg (BUD Eq)	$1638 \pm 518.8$	FEV <sub>1</sub> , %predicted	$91.4 \pm 18.9$
Maintenance OCS use, N (%)	39 (37.1%)	FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, %	$66.3 \pm 12.7$
Atopy, N (%)	65 (61.9%)		

Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD, median (IQR), geometric mean (log <sub>10</sub> SD) or number (%).

# **Distribution of exacerbations in 3 years**





#### Factors associated with the next year asthma exaxcerbartion

#### Exacerbation on 2<sup>nd</sup> year

	OR	95%CI	P-value
Exacerbation during the 1 <sup>st</sup> year	10.1	3.63-28.0	< 0.0001

#### Exacerbation on 3<sup>rd</sup> year

	OR	95%CI	P-value
Exacerbation during the 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> year	33.7	7.90-144.2	< 0.0001

Logistic regression analysis

Adjusted by age, gender, yearafter diagnosis of exacerbation, atopy, BMI, smoking status



# **3-Year Follow up**



# Biomarkers according to exacerbation status



# Biomarkers according to exacerbation status



### Characteristics according to exacerbation status

		Type of exacerbation					
	All (N = 105)	<b>CNE</b> CNE (N = 39)	IE (N = 51)	<b>CFE</b> CFE (N = 15)	P-value	P for trend*	
Male sex, N (%)	45 (42.9)	14 (35.9)	26 (51.0)	5 (33.3)	.259	n/a	
Age at enrolment, y	$58.5\pm12.1$	$\textbf{57.3} \pm \textbf{11.8}$	$60.0\pm12.2$	56.3	.456	n/a	
Asthma duration, y	$19.7\pm14.6$	$\textbf{16.8} \pm \textbf{11.1}$	$22.0\pm16.5$	$19.3\pm15.4$	.242	n/a	
Smoking status (Current/Ex/Never)	11/56/38	4/17/18	7/29/15	0/10/5	.272	n/a	
Pack years	5.5 (0-23.4)	4.5 (0-17.1)	7.4 (0-30.9)	4.0 (0-11.6)	.237	n/a	
Pack years $\geq$ 10, N (%)	46 (43.8)	14 (35.9)	25 (49.0)	7 (46.7)	.448	n/a	
Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$25.5\pm5.0$	$25.7\pm5.9$	$25.5\pm3.9$	$24.7\pm5.7$	.795	n/a	
Daily ICS dose, $\mu g^a$	$1638\pm518.8$	$1674.4\pm462.7$	$1611.3 \pm 455.4$	$1640\pm819.2$	.852	n/a	
Maintenance OCS use, N (%)	39 (37.1)	13 (33.3)	17 (33.3)	9 (60.0)	.141	n/a	
Atopy, N (%)	65 (61.9)	26 (66.7)	30 (58.8)	9 (60.0)	.740	n/a	
ACT	21.0 (17.0-23.0)	22.0 (18.3-23.8)	20.0 (16.3-23.0)	20.0 (15.3-20.8)	.107	.039	
AQLQ	5.5 (4.9-6.3)	5.7 (4.9-6.3)	5.5 (4.9-6.3)	5.1 (4.3-6.1)	.341	.160	

### **3-Year Follow up**







Blood eosinophils (/µL)







# **Multivariate Analysis**

Type of exacerbation						
CNE	IE	CFE	P-value,	P-value	P-value	
(N = 49)	(N = 34)	(N = 19)	Crude	Model 1	Model 2	
190.6 (0.50)	181.7 (0.46)	289.2 (0.38)	0.281	0.428	0.778	
19.8 (0.27)	26.1 (0.36)	35.3 (0.36)	0.014	0.016	0.017	
	CNE (N = 49) 190.6 (0.50) 19.8 (0.27)	CNE         IE $(N = 49)$ $(N = 34)$ 190.6 (0.50)         181.7 (0.46)           19.8 (0.27)         26.1 (0.36)	CNE         IE         CFE           (N = 49)         (N = 34)         (N = 19)           190.6 (0.50)         181.7 (0.46)         289.2 (0.38)           19.8 (0.27)         26.1 (0.36)         35.3 (0.36)	CNE         IE         CFE         P-value,           (N = 49)         (N = 34)         (N = 19)         Crude           190.6 (0.50)         181.7 (0.46)         289.2 (0.38)         0.281           19.8 (0.27)         26.1 (0.36)         35.3 (0.36)         0.014	CNE         IE         CFE         P-value,         P-value           (N = 49)         (N = 34)         (N = 19)         Crude         Model 1           190.6 (0.50)         181.7 (0.46)         289.2 (0.38)         0.281         0.428           19.8 (0.27)         26.1 (0.36)         35.3 (0.36)         0.014         0.016	

Table 5. Comparison of the blood eosinophil count and FeNO among exacerbation status groups in two-year follow-up after Visit 1 (Analysis 2)

#### • Crude

- Model 1: Age, gender, BMI smoking status
- Model 2: Model 1+ exacerbation status during the 1<sup>st</sup> year

# **Cox Proportional Hazard model**





# Increased periostin associates with greater airflow limitation in patients receiving inhaled corticosteroids

(Kanemitsu Y, et al. JACI 2013)



TABLE IV. Estimated effects of clinical indices and serum periostin on a decline in FEV<sub>1</sub> of 30 mL or greater per year

	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	Estimates	95% CI	<b>P</b> value	Estimates	95% CI	<i>P</i> value
Treatment step, 5 vs 2 to 4*	1.63	0.51 to 2.60	.004	1.24	0.078 to 2.30	.04
History of admission due to asthma	1.09	0.37 to 1.90	.003	0.70	-0.11 to 1.50	.09
ICS daily maintenance dose (µg)	0.001	0.00 to 0.002	.01	_		
Chronic sinusitis	0.82	0.11 to 1.53	.03	0.61	-0.15 to 1.37	.12
Smoking history, ex vs never	0.87	-0.002 to 1.74	.05	0.98	0.030 to 1.93	.04
Log serum periostin (ng/mL)	2.96	0.78 to 5.13	.008	_		
Periostin group, high vs low <sup>†</sup>	1.03	0.33 to 1.72	.004	0.87	0.11 to 1.63	.03

# **Summary II**

- Fifteen patients (14.3%) were frequent exacerbators in 3 years analysis among 105 severe asthmatics.
- Frequent exacerbators displayed high blood eosinophils and FeNO levels.
- Frequent exacerbations in previous year were significant associated factors with frequent exacerbations in next years.
- FeNO levels were significant associated factors with frequent exacerbations independent of exacerbations in previous year.

# **Future Planning**



<sup>(</sup>Wenzel SE. Nat Med. 2012)

#### Thank you very much for your kind attention.



Hokkaido University